

3 ORIGINAL ARTICLE

4 Public awareness regarding the field
5 of pediatric emergency medicine as a
6 medical subspecialty in Saudi Arabia: a
7 cross sectional study

8 Mazin Alhamdani^{1*}, Abdullah Khalid Habiballah¹, Nojoud Talib Alharthi¹,
9 Raniah Abdulmohsen Jaha¹

10 ABSTRACT

11 **Introduction:** Pediatric Emergency Medicine (PEM) is a critical subspecialty focused on providing emergency
12 care for children within emergency settings. Despite its importance, public awareness of PEM remains limited,
13 including in Saudi Arabia, where pediatric emergency departments, similar to those in many countries world-
14 wide, are frequently overutilized for nonurgent conditions.

15 **Objective:** This study aimed to evaluate public awareness, knowledge, and attitudes toward PEM among par-
16 ents attending a pediatric emergency department in Saudi Arabia, and to identify sociodemographic factors
17 influencing this awareness.

18 **Methodology:** A cross-sectional survey was conducted from June to November 2024 at a tertiary care hospital
19 in Jeddah, Saudi Arabia. The study included 332 parents or guardians accompanying pediatric patients aged 0
20 to less than 14 years of age with mild to moderate medical acuity levels in the Pediatric Emergency Medicine
21 Department. Data were collected using a structured questionnaire and analyzed with Statistical Package for
22 the Social Sciences (SPSS) version 20. Associations between awareness levels and sociodemographic variables
23 were assessed using the chi-square test.

24 **Results:** Although 84% of participants were aware of Emergency Medicine as a specialty, only 47.9% recog-
25 nized PEM as a distinct subspecialty, and only 20% accurately identified the role of PEM physicians in their
26 child's care. The primary reasons for using emergency services were immediate access to care, trust in the
27 emergency team, and limited access to primary care. Parents' awareness of PEM as a distinct medical subspe-
28 cialty exhibited significant associations with gender, education level, and employment status.

29 **Conclusion:** The findings highlight a considerable gap in public awareness of PEM as a medical subspecialty in
30 Saudi Arabia. Targeted public education campaigns, increasing collaborations between pediatric emergency
31 medicine physicians and primary healthcare centers, and integration of PEM awareness into community health
32 initiatives are recommended to optimize healthcare utilization and enhance pediatric outcomes.

33 **Keywords:** Pediatric, pediatric emergency medicine, emergency medicine, general public awareness, parents'
34 knowledge, medical specialties

35 Introduction

36 In 1968, the American College of Emergency Physicians
37 was founded in the United States, leading to the
38 recognition of Emergency Medicine (EM) as a specialty
39 by the American Medical Association in 1972 and
40 the establishment of board certification in 1979 [1]. In
41 Saudi Arabia, EM did not exist as a specialty before
42 1990; instead, emergencies were managed by individual

Correspondence to: Mazin Alhamdani

*King Faisal Specialist Hospital and Research Centre, Jeddah,
Saudi Arabia.

Email: Dr.mazin_alhamdani@hotmail.com

Full list of author information is available at the end of
the article.

Received: 25 February 2026 | Revised (1): 14 March 2026 |

Revised (2): 20 March 2026 | Accepted: 03 April 2026



47 departments such as medicine, surgery, pediatrics,
 48 and obstetrics and gynecology within emergency
 49 departments (EDs). In the 1990s, two major hospitals in
 50 Riyadh-King Faisal Specialist Hospital and the Ministry
 51 of National Guard Health Affairs Hospital introduced
 52 American-style ED models [2]. EM was formally
 53 recognized as a medical specialty in 2001, and the Saudi
 54 Board of Emergency Medicine was established in 2005
 55 to standardize curricula for EM and later for Pediatric
 56 Emergency Medicine (PEM) [3]. The first recognized
 57 PEM fellowship, a two-year program, was launched in
 58 2006 across multiple training sites, with the overarching
 59 goal of producing clinicians proficient in PEM practice
 60 [2]. The ED is a critical hospital service; however, it is
 61 frequently over-utilized for conditions that could be
 62 managed in primary healthcare centers, particularly
 63 among pediatric patients [4].

64 Caregiver knowledge and awareness have been shown
 65 to play a pivotal role in PEM utilization and outcomes.
 66 Studies have linked non-urgent pediatric visits to the
 67 ED with parental knowledge levels. For example,
 68 Alruzaiza et al. [4] reported a significant association
 69 between parents' sociodemographic characteristics and
 70 their knowledge, attitudes, and practices regarding PEM.
 71 Similarly, Greenberg et al. [5] found that parents had
 72 limited understanding of the roles of ED providers and
 73 the scope of pediatric EDs. Olsen et al. [6] also noted that
 74 EM as a specialty remains poorly understood by patients,
 75 and Leva et al. [7] reported that nearly half of surveyed
 76 parents believed that general pediatricians and PEM
 77 physicians provide equivalent emergency care. Although
 78 public understanding of EM has gradually improved,
 79 PEM remains largely unrecognized as a distinct medical
 80 subspecialty.

81 Our study aimed to evaluate parental awareness of
 82 PEM as a distinct medical subspecialty and to identify
 83 the factors influencing parents' decisions to use PEM
 84 services rather than outpatient clinics. The findings will
 85 contribute valuable local data on public knowledge and
 86 perceptions of PEM in Saudi Arabia.

87 **Materials and Methods**

88 ***Data collection tool and technique***

89 The data were collected using REDCap (Vanderbilt
 90 University), a secure, web-based data management
 91 platform. The survey included a brief introduction
 92 outlining the study aims, followed by an option to either
 93 "agree" or "disagree" to participate. Selecting "agree"
 94 was considered verbal consent, whereas choosing
 95 "disagree" resulted in no further data collection.

96 ***Data analysis***

97 The data were analyzed using Statistical Package for
 98 the Social Sciences (SPSS) (version 20). Descriptive
 99 statistics were reported as means \pm standard deviations
 100 for continuous variables and as frequencies and
 101 percentages for categorical variables. Associations
 102 between awareness and sociodemographic variables
 103 were tested using the chi-square test. P -values $<$ 0.05
 104 were used to denote statistical significance.

Study design and study participants

This cross-sectional survey included all pediatric
 emergency visits to King Faisal Specialist Hospital
 and Research Center between June and November
 2024. Eligible participants were parents or guardians
 of pediatric patients aged 0 to under 14 years of age
 presenting with mild to moderate acuity. Exclusion
 criteria included patients with high-acuity conditions or
 those requiring resuscitation.

Survey components

The survey collected information including demographics
 such as age, gender, marital status, nationality, household
 size, province of residence, highest educational
 degree, employment status, relationship to the patient,
 and number of ED visits per year. We also assessed
 knowledge, including awareness of EM and PEM as
 distinct specialties and recognition of other pediatric
 subspecialties (e.g., cardiology, pulmonology). Parents'
 Perceptions of the Pediatric ED as an appropriate setting
 for their child's care were also included in the survey.
 Finally, parental identification of medical care providers'
 specialties was also assessed. A multiple-choice question
 assessed parental perceptions of their child's medical care
 provider's specialty in the pediatric ED. For respondents
 who expressed trust in the Pediatric ED, additional
 questions explored the reasons for their preference (e.g.,
 faster care, higher trust, or lack of access to primary care).

Ethical consideration

The study protocol, including objectives and question-
 naires, was approved by the Research Ethics Committee
 of King Faisal Specialist Hospital and Research Center
 IRB number: (2024-68). All patients presenting to the
 hospital were informed of its data recording system and
 provided general agreement for their de-identified data
 to be used for research purposes, with full confidentiality
 maintained.

Results

Descriptive statistics

Sociodemographic characteristics

A total of 332 participants with diverse sociodemographic
 characteristics were enrolled in the study. The largest age
 group was 30-40 years (45.5%), followed by 40-50 years
 (35.5%). Females comprised 67.2% of the sample. Most
 participants were married (90.7%), with 5.1% divorced.
 The vast majority of the survey respondents were Saudis
 (97.3%).

In terms of family size, most survey respondents reported
 having 3-5 family members (46.1%). Geographically,
 most participants resided in the Western region of Saudi
 Arabia (75.9%).

Regarding education, nearly half held a bachelor's
 degree (46.7%). Employment data surveyed showed
 that almost half of the respondents were full-time
 employees (44.9%), while the remaining respondents
 were either seeking employment or did not disclose

Table 1. The results of the sociodemographic characteristics of the survey participants.

Feature	Subcategories	Number	Frequency
Age	21:30 years	46	13.9%
	30:40 years	151	45.5%
	40:50 years	118	35.5%
	More than 50years	17	5.1%
Gender	Males	109	32.8%
	Females	223	67.2%
Marital Status	Married	301	90.7%
	Single	10	3%
	Widow	3	0.9%
	Divorced	17	5.1%
	Other	1	0.3%
Nationality	Saudi	323	97.3%
	Non-Saudi	9	2.7%
Family Number	1:3 members	82	24.7%
	3:5 members	153	46.1%
	5:7 members	72	21.7%
	7:9 members	19	5.7%
	More than 9 members	6	1.8%
Residency	Northern area	5	1.5%
	Southern area	67	20.2%
	Western area	252	75.9%
	Eastern area	1	0.3%
	Central area	7	2.1%
Education	Primary education	4	1.2%
	Intermediate education	14	4.2%
	Secondary education	86	25.9%
	Bachelor's degree	155	46.7%
	Master's degree	24	7.2%
	PhD degree	3	0.9%
	Prefer not to say	46	13.9%
Employment	Full-time Employee	149	44.9%
	Part-time job	2	0.6%
	Private work	20	6.0%
	Retired	7	2.1%
	Looking for work	57	17.2%
	Prefer not to say	97	29.2%
Relation to the patient	Father	97	29.2%
	Mother	207	62.3%

care providers in the pediatric ED, a majority believed their initial care was provided by a general pediatrician (50.5%), far fewer accurately identified their provider as a PEM physician (20.5%), and even less (17.2%) assumed they were seeing a general practitioner (Table 2).

The following part of the survey assessed the parents' knowledge about the existence of different pediatric subspecialties and explored parents' perceptions of the ED as the most appropriate setting for their child's medical care.

Most respondents (73.2%) confirmed their knowledge of different pediatric subspecialties. In addition, a majority of participants (89.5%) concurred that the emergency department was the most optimal setting for their child's care. The predominant reason cited was immediate access to medical attention (50.9%). Furthermore, a greater level of trust in the Emergency staff was emphasized (29.2%), and some indicated the lack of primary care physicians as a reason (13.9%). (Table 3)

Inferential statistics

Factors affecting the public's awareness of EM as a medical subspecialty

Analysis of factors influencing public awareness of EM as a medical specialty showed that education level was the only statistically significant variable associated with awareness ($p = 0.049$). In contrast, employment status, family size, marital status, age, and gender demonstrated no significant effect on the participants' awareness (Table 4).

Factors affecting awareness of PEM as a medical subspecialty

The statistical analysis indicated that multiple factors influenced participants' awareness of PEM as a medical subspecialty. Female parents demonstrated significantly higher awareness of PEM ($p = 0.004$). Furthermore, both educational level ($p = 0.000$) and employment status ($p = 0.003$) were identified as significant determinants of parental awareness of PEM (Table 5).

Reasons why parents believe that the ED is the most suitable place for their child's medical care

A statistically significant difference was observed between parents who considered the ED the most appropriate setting for their child's medical care and those who did not. The reasons cited included the availability of immediate care in the pediatric ED, greater trust in PEM physicians, and the lack of access to primary care providers (Table 6).

Discussion

Pediatric Emergency Medicine (PEM) is a critical subspecialty within both Emergency Medicine and Pediatrics, focusing on the prompt evaluation, diagnosis, and management of children presenting with acute illnesses or injuries. As a rapidly expanding discipline, PEM plays an increasingly important role in modern

160 their employment status. A summary of these results is
161 provided in (Table 1).

Analysis of the participants' answers in the questionnaire

164 While there was substantial recognition of EM as a
165 specialty among survey participants, awareness of
166 PEM was considerably lower with only some viewing
167 it as a distinct medical subspecialty. Nearly half of the
168 participants (56.3%) reported fewer than five emergency
169 department (ED) visits in the past year. When asked
170 about their perceptions of the medical specialties of

Table 2. Awareness of the population regarding emergency medicine and pediatric emergency medicine as medical specialties.

Question	Answer	Number	Frequencies
How many times did you visit the Emergency Department during the last year?	<5 visits	187	56.3%
	5:10 visits	116	34.9%
	>10 visits	29	8.7%
Do you know about the existence of Emergency Medicine as a medical specialty?	Yes	279	84%
	No	53	16%
Do you know about the existence of Pediatric Emergency Medicine as a medical subspecialty?	Yes	159	47.9%
	No	173	52.1
What is the medical specialty of the physician providing care for your child in our Emergency Department?	General Pediatrician	168	50.5%
	Pediatric Emergency Medicine Physician	68	20.5%
	General Practitioner (GP)	57	17.2%
	I do not know	39	11.7%

Table 3. Parents’ knowledge and perception of pediatric care settings and subspecialties.

Question	Answer	Number	Frequency
Do you know about pediatric subspecialties e.g. Pediatric Cardiology, Pediatric Pulmonology, and pediatric Nephrology?	Yes	243	73.2%
	No	89	26.8%
Do you believe the Emergency Department is the best place for your child to get medical care?	Yes	297	89.5%
	No	35	10.5%
parents’ reasons for choosing the Emergency Department as the most suitable place in to provide care for their child			
Because we receive immediate medical care		169	50.9%
I don't have a primary care physician for my child		46	13.9%
We have more trust in the Emergency Department staff		97	29.2%

225 healthcare systems. Despite its importance, public
 226 awareness and understanding of PEM remain limited.
 227 The findings of this study showed that although most
 228 participants were familiar with Emergency Medicine
 229 (EM) as a medical specialty, fewer recognized PEM as a
 230 distinct subspecialty. Awareness of PEM was significantly
 231 associated with several demographic factors, including
 232 educational level, gender, and employment status.
 233 Furthermore, most respondents identified the emergency
 234 department (ED) as the most appropriate setting for their
 235 child’s care, primarily due to the immediate availability
 236 of treatment and their trust in the medical team. This
 237 preference highlights the perceived importance of
 238 rapid access to specialized care for children with acute
 239 conditions and the need to improve public awareness of
 240 PEM and its role within emergency healthcare services.

241 One of the more striking findings of our study is the
 242 discrepancy between awareness of EM and PEM.
 243 Although a large proportion of participants (84%) were
 244 familiar with EM as a specialty, less than half (47.9%)
 245 recognized PEM as a distinct pediatric subspecialty.
 246 Furthermore, only 20% correctly identified that a PEM
 247 physician is primarily responsible for providing care
 248 to children in the emergency setting. In comparison,
 249 more than half of the participants (50.5%) believed that
 250 general pediatricians were the main providers in the ED.
 251 These results reveal a substantial knowledge gap among

parents regarding the professionals specifically trained to
 252 manage pediatric emergencies. 253

This gap is consistent with findings reported in previous
 254 studies. *Olsen et al.* [6] observed that only 64% of their
 255 participants were aware of EM as a specialty, with many
 256 holding misconceptions, such as believing that EM
 257 physicians performed surgeries or ran outpatient clinics.
 258 Similarly, *Greenberg et al.* [5] found that many parents
 259 were unclear about the role of ED physicians, with half
 260 assuming they also practiced in private clinics and one-
 261 third believing they carried out surgical procedures. Such
 262 misconceptions contribute to a blurred public perception
 263 of the scope and responsibilities of EM, which ultimately
 264 extends to PEM. In addition, the public often lacks clarity
 265 about the hierarchy within EDs, including the roles of
 266 attending (consultant) physicians, residents, and interns.
 267 Similar observations about public understanding have
 268 been reported in Germany, where *Von Dem Knesebeck*
 269 *et al.* [8] documented widespread knowledge gaps and
 270 limited ability among citizens to identify or appropriately
 271 respond to emergency medical situations. 272

In our study, the majority of parents (89.5%) considered
 273 the ED the most appropriate setting for their children’s
 274 medical needs. Among these, more than half (50.9%)
 275 cited rapid access to care as the primary reason, followed
 276 by greater trust in PEM staff (29.2%) and limited
 277

Table 4. Factors affecting awareness regarding emergency medicine as a medical specialty according to the survey.

Factor	Description	Yes	No	P-value (Chi-Square test)
Age	21:30 years	38	8	0.799
	30:40 years	127	24	
	40:50 years	101	17	
	More than 50years	13	4	
Gender	Males	94	15	0.444
	Females	185	38	
Marital Status	Married	256	45	0.07
	Single	8	2	
	Widow	3	0	
	Divorced	12	5	
	Other	0	1	
Family Number	1:3 members	66	16	0.128
	3:5 members	136	17	
	5:7 members	59	13	
	7:9 members	13	6	
	More than 10 members	5	1	
Education	Primary education	4	0	0.049
	Intermediate education	9	5	
	Secondary education	66	20	
	Bachelor's degree	136	19	
	Master's degree	19	5	
	PhD degree	3	0	
	Prefer not to tell	42	4	
Employment	Full-time Employee	127	22	0.789
	Part-time job	2	0	
	Private work	15	5	
	Retired	6	1	
	Searching for job	46	11	
	Prefer not to tell	83	14	

Table 5. Factors affecting parents' awareness of pediatric emergency medicine as a medical subspecialty.

Factor	Description	Yes	No	P-value (Chi-Square test)
Age	21:30 years	29	17	0.134
	30:40 years	72	79	
	40:50 years	51	67	
	More than 50years	7	10	
Gender	Males	40	69	0.004
	Females	119	104	
Marital Status	Married	144	157	0.850
	Single	5	5	
	Widow	1	2	
	Divorced	9	8	
	Other	0	1	
Family Number	1:3 members	40	42	0.122
	3:5 members	79	74	
	5:7 members	27	45	
	7:9 members	8	11	
	More than 10 members	5	1	
	Education	Primary education	4	
Intermediate education	7	7		
Secondary education	37	49		
Bachelor's degree	90	65		
Master's degree	15	9		
PhD degree	3	0		
Prefer not to say	3	43		
Employment	Full-time Employee	77	72	0.003
	Part-time job	2	0	
	Private work	8	12	
	Retired	4	3	
	Searching for job	36	21	
	Prefer not to tell	32	65	

understanding of different medical specialties within the medical school curriculum. Olsen et al. [6] also noted that media depictions may simultaneously enhance visibility but also perpetuate misconceptions about the scope of medical specialties.

In examining the reasons behind parents' preference for PEM services, our study adds to the growing evidence that such decisions are often shaped by convenience, trust, and systemic limitations. These findings align with those of Alnowaiser et al. [10], who studied over 1,000 participants and found that parents favored visiting the ED over PHCCs primarily for immediate medical care and time efficiency. In their study, 6% of parents reported choosing the ED because of greater trust in the medical team, 5% were uncertain about the urgency of their child's condition, whereas >74% believed the condition was urgent. The study highlighted the central reasons some parents chose the ED over PHCCs, even when the issue was nonurgent. Similarly, Alruzaiza et

availability of primary care services (13.9%). These findings are in line with those of Mahmoud et al. [9], who reported that 45.7% of parents sought care at the ED as their first option for a child's illness, compared with only 28% who used PHCCs. Similarly, Alnowaiser et al. [10] reported that a large proportion of parents opted to go directly to the ED instead of visiting PHCCs.

Knowledge gaps have also been observed among Saudi medical students. Al-Rabiah et al. [11] reported limited awareness of EM, particularly among preclinical students. These findings highlight the importance of encouraging further education on mindful healthcare use and a deeper

Table 6. Reasons why the participants believe the emergency department is the most suitable place for their child's medical care.

Whether parents believe Emergency Department is the most suitable place for their child's medical care	Reasons why the participants believe that Pediatric Emergency Medicine (PEM) is the most suitable setting for their child's medical care.					P-value (Chi-Square test)
	Blank response (20 participants)	Immediate medical care	We Don't have a primary care physician	We have more trust in the PEM team	Total	
Yes		161	38	95	297	0.000
No		8	8	2	35	

al. [4] identified factors such as service quality, parental uncertainty in assessing severity, limited PHCC working hours, and the higher cost of ED services as key drivers of this preference. Al-Ghadeer et al. [12] investigated factors influencing pediatric ED visits, noting that parents often cited the urgency of their child's condition and the perceived convenience of the ED services. Additional considerations, such as physician availability and hospital resources, were also reported. Conversely, parents often preferred the ED over PHCCs, citing limited-service hours and a perception that urgent cases might be addressed more promptly in the ED rather than in primary care settings.

The misalignment between healthcare service availability and public expectations contributes to emergency department overcrowding, longer waiting times, and increased strain on resources. Almulhim et al. [13] further highlighted that the preference for EDs over PHCCs stems largely from perceptions of superior quality, accessibility, and availability. Importantly, this trend is not limited to pediatrics; similar patterns have been observed among adult populations in Saudi Arabia [13,14].

Our study also explored the relationship between sociodemographic characteristics and awareness of PEM. Significant associations were observed with gender, education level, and employment status. Female respondents demonstrated greater awareness of PEM compared to males. This aligns with the findings of Al-Anazy et al. [15], who reported that men were more inclined to call EMS during perceived emergencies, whereas women preferred to accompany patients directly to the ED. Although this may suggest a gender-based difference in engagement with emergency services, other studies, including those by Alruzaiza et al. [4] and Al-Jabir et al. [14], did not identify statistically significant variations by gender.

Age also played an important role in shaping awareness and utilization of ED services. Al-Anzy et al. [15] noted that younger individuals (18-24 years) possessed greater EMS knowledge, likely due to exposure through social media and the Internet. Our study found that parents aged 30-50 years were more likely to seek emergency care for their children. This age group may represent parents with greater experience and a stronger sense of responsibility, leading to more proactive responses to health concerns. These findings are consistent with prior research, in which parental age was among the demographic factors associated with healthcare-seeking behavior and general medical knowledge [14,16,17].

In terms of parental educational status, our study revealed that individuals with secondary and bachelor's degrees demonstrated higher awareness of PEM compared to those with only primary education. Al-Anazy et al. [15] suggested that highly educated individuals may sometimes overestimate their knowledge or hesitate to acknowledge gaps, which could contribute to underreporting. In contrast, parents with secondary education might be more open to health education and are more proactive in seeking accurate information. This trend supports prior studies linking higher education levels with greater awareness of emergency services [4,10,13]. However, conflicting findings exist. For example, Mahmoud et al. [9] and Al-Jabir et al. [14] reported no significant correlation between education and awareness, implying that other factors, such as health literacy, access to information, and prior healthcare experiences, may play important roles.

Limitations

Although this study offers valuable insights into public awareness and perceptions of PEM in Saudi Arabia, several limitations should be considered. First, the research was conducted at a single tertiary care center, which may not accurately reflect the diversity of populations and healthcare settings across the Kingdom, limiting generalizability. Second, the cross-sectional design captures perceptions at only one point in time, making it difficult to assess changes in awareness over time or to evaluate the effects of public health interventions. Third, reliance on self-reported questionnaires introduces the risk of recall bias and social desirability bias because participants may have overestimated their knowledge or provided responses that they deemed more acceptable. Furthermore, the study did not explore in detail the severity or urgency of pediatric visits, which may have provided greater insight into the rationale behind parental choices. Finally, certain potential confounding factors, such as previous healthcare experiences, exposure to media, and varying levels of health literacy, were not assessed and may have influenced the results.

Recommendations

- 1- Developing public health campaigns to raise awareness of PEM as a distinct medical subspecialty. These efforts should highlight the differences between EM and PEM, clarify the types of conditions that require emergency care, and educate families on when to seek services at PHCCs instead of EDs.
- 2- Increasing collaboration between Pediatric Emergency Medicine Physicians and PHCCs which

408 will hopefully enhance children's healthcare outcomes.
409 These collaborations will facilitate a valuable exchange
410 of knowledge and expertise, ultimately enriching the care
411 provided to children.

412 3- Creating partnerships between educational institutions
413 and medical societies with a goal of introducing
414 basic health literacy into school curricula, fostering a
415 generation that is better informed and more proactive in
416 managing health needs.

417 One notable finding from our study is that parents often
418 prefer Emergency Departments (EDs) over Primary
419 Health Care Centers (PHCCs) when seeking care for their
420 children, a choice that significantly shapes healthcare-
421 seeking behavior. To encourage greater utilization of
422 PHCCs, it is essential to continue to raise awareness
423 about the range of services they provide and how these
424 services can effectively meet the needs of families.

425 **Conclusions**

426 This study highlights a gap in public awareness of
427 PEM as a distinct medical subspecialty in Saudi
428 Arabia. While many individuals are familiar with EM,
429 recognition of PEM remains comparatively limited.
430 Public awareness levels were significantly influenced
431 by factors such as gender, educational attainment, and
432 employment status. These findings highlight a need for
433 targeted public education initiatives and comprehensive
434 health communication strategies to clarify the role
435 and importance of PEM. In parallel, strengthening
436 collaborations between Pediatric Emergency Medicine
437 physicians and primary healthcare centers could help
438 reduce the overuse of emergency departments for non-
439 urgent pediatric conditions. Addressing these issues has
440 the potential to enhance healthcare resource utilization
441 and improve pediatric patient outcomes.

442 **Conflict of Interest**

443 The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest
444 related to this study.

445 **Funding**

446 This research did not receive any specific grant from funding
447 agencies in the public, commercial, or non-profit sectors.

448 **Ethical Approval**

449 This cross-sectional study was conducted at King Faisal
450 Specialist Hospital & Research Centre. Ethical approval
451 was obtained from the Institutional Review Board (2024-
452 68) of King Faisal Specialist Hospital & Research Centre.
453 As the study utilized anonymized data collected as part
454 of routine clinical care, informed consent was waived by
455 the IRB.

456 **Data Availability**

457 The datasets generated and/or analyzed during the current
458 study are not publicly available due to institutional regulations
459 and patient confidentiality policies but are available from
460 the corresponding author on reasonable request, subject to
461 institutional approval

462 **Author details**

463 Mazin Alhamdani¹, Abdullah Khalid Habiballah¹, Nojoud Talib
464 Alharthi², Raniah Abdulmohsen Jaha¹

1. King Faisal Specialist Hospital and Research Centre, Jeddah, 465
Saudi Arabia 466

Supplementary content (If any) is available online. 467

References 468

1. Suter RE. Emergency medicine in the United States: a systemic review. *World J Emerg Med.* 2012;3(1):5–10. <https://doi.org/10.5847/wjem.j.issn.1920-8642.2012.01.001> 469–471
2. Khattab E, Sabbagh A, Algerian N, Binsalleeh H, Almulhim M, Alqahtani A, et al. Emergency medicine in Saudi Arabia: a century of progress and a bright vision for the future. *Int J Emerg Med.* 2019;12(1):16. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12245-019-0232-0> 472–477
3. Al Saad AS, Alwalah SA, Al Saad TT, Alqanass YA, Alqahtani AM, Al Saad HM. Emergency medicine in Kingdom of Saudi Arabia: an era of development and a bright therapy for the future: an appraisal. *Int J Adv Res (Indore).* 2023;11(9):897–904. <https://doi.org/10.21474/IJAR01/17600> 478–483
4. Alruzaiza SA, Mahrous RM. Assessment of knowledge, attitude, and practice on level of awareness among pediatric emergency department visitors: makkah City, Saudi Arabia: cross-sectional study. *Int J Psychosoc Rehabil.* 2020;24:5186–202. 484–488
5. Greenberg RA, Kadish H, Schunk J. Parent perceptions of the specialty pediatric emergency medicine and their understanding of the provider roles in a Pediatric Emergency Department. *Clin Pediatr (Phila).* 2007;46(4):334–9. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0009922806296128> 489–493
6. Olsen JC, Johnson BC, Brown AM, Levinson SR. Patient perceptions of the specialty of emergency medicine. *Am J Emerg Med.* 2000;18(3):278–81. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0735-6757\(00\)90122-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0735-6757(00)90122-0) 494–497
7. Leva EG, Bunn Vanarsdale D, Miele NF, Petrova A. Parental and pediatricians' perception of need for subspecialty training in pediatric emergency medicine. *Glob Pediatr Health.* 2017;4:2333794X. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2333794X17743404> 498–502
8. Von Dem Knesebeck O, Koens S, Schäfer I, Strauß A, Klein J. Public knowledge about emergency care—results of a population survey from Germany. *Front Public Health.* 2022;9:787921. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2021.787921> 503–507
9. Mahmoud MA, Alhijli FW, Alotabi Y, Alanazi S, Alghamdi A, Alrasheed M, et al. Knowledge and attitude towards emergency department utilization in Riyadh. *J Fam Med Prim Care.* 2022;11(6):3021–7. https://doi.org/10.4103/jfmpc.jfmpc_2341_21 508–512
10. Alnowaiser D, Aldossari F, Albalawi M, Alrayya S, Alghannam I, Alshammari T, et al. Parental perspectives on pediatric emergency department use in Riyadh, Saudi Arabia: a cross-sectional study. *Int J Med Dev Ctries.* 2025;13:1575783. <https://doi.org/10.24911/IJMDC.51-1748157671> 513–518
11. Alrabiah A, Almass A, Humaidhi R, Alharbi NM, AlMassad GA. Saudi medical students' knowledge, perception, and exposure to emergency medicine. *Saudi J Emerg Med.* 2021;2(2):153–9. <https://doi.org/10.24911/SJEMed/72-1594971719> 519–523
12. Al Ghadeer HA, Aldandan JK, Alnajjar JS, Alamer MH, Almusallam SA, Alneamah AA, et al. Factors influencing visits to the pediatric emergency department. 524–526

- 527 Cureus. 2024;16(1):e51995. <https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.51995>
- 528
- 529 13. Almulhim N, Almulhim F, Al Gharash A, Alghannam
530 Z, Al-Ghamdi RS, Alghamdi MH, et al. Preference
531 for visiting emergency department over primary
532 health care center among population in Saudi Arabia.
533 Cureus. 2021;13(12):e20073. <https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.20073>
- 534
- 535 14. Al Jabir W, Alalfard BA, Muhaya AA, Al Farhan A. Non-
536 urgent pediatric presentations to the emergency
537 department, Khamis Mushayt Maternity and Children
538 Hospital, Saudi Arabia. *World Fam Med*. 2023;21:87–97.
539 <https://doi.org/10.5742/MEWFM.2023.95256183>
- 540 15. Alanazy A, Alruwaili A, Alswaidan S, Alobaid H, Alomran
541 A, Hzazi A, et al. Public awareness of emergency medical
services in the Eastern region of Saudi Arabia. *PLoS One*. 2024;19:306878. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0306878>
- 542
- 543
- 544
- 545 16. Albalahi NM, Al Bargawi M, Kofi M. Awareness and
546 utilization of urgent care services among patients
547 attending Al-Wazarat PHCC in Riyadh, Saudi Arabia. *J
Fam Med Prim Care*. 2021;10(12):4452–62. https://doi.org/10.4103/jfmprc.jfmprc_1007_21
- 548
- 549
- 550 17. Alghamdi FA, Alghamdi AA, Refai IE, Ghanam AM,
551 Alharbi RG, Alhashimi SF, et al. Awareness, knowledge,
552 and attitudes among Saudi parents related to first aid
553 practices and emergency response to their children.
554 *Cureus*. 2025;17(2):e78776. <https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.78776>
- 555